

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/898,936	07/03/2001	Paul DiCarlo	BSI-479US	1985
75	590 01/13/2003			
Christopher R. Lewis			EXAMINER	
RATNER & PRESTIA One Westlakes, Berwyn, Suite 301			LANDREM, KAMRIN R	
P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
valley 1 orgo, 1			3738	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
:	09/898,936	DICARLO, PAUL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kamrin R. Landrem	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vii iroin consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement					
Application Papers	erection requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/898,936

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim1-15, 25-27, 33,34 drawn to prosthetic device and with cord, classified in class 623, subclass 1.13.
- II. Claims16-24, 28-31, drawn to prosthetic device with attachment tab classified in class 623, subclass 1.36.
- III. Claim 32, drawn toward prosthetic device with cord, attachment tab, and tab extension ring.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because each of the subcombinations, Group I and II, have different means of attachment/fixation to the body lumen. The subcombinations have separate utilities such as serving to maintain a fixed position in a body lumen and provide structural support to the area around the lumen.

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

Application/Control Number: 09/898,936

Art Unit: 3738

inventions are structurally different. Group I has attachment means that is incorporated into the

structure of the device. Group II require the attachment tab be wired to the body of the device.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate

status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

Upon election of Group I, please elect one of the following embodiments: A, B, C.

Species 1: Device with cord

Embodiment A: Figs 1-3,6

Embodiment B: Fig. 4

Embodiment C: Fig. 9

Upon election of Group II please elect one of the following embodiments: D, E.

Species 2: Device with tab

Embodiment **D**: Figs. 5a, 5b

Embodiment E: Fig. 5c

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally

held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/898,936

Art Unit: 3738.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Christopher Lewis on January 7, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kamrin R. Landrem whose telephone number is 703-305-8061. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00, Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,936 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-3905 for regular communications and 703-308-3905 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858

Kamrin Landrem Primory Examiner

Examiner AU 3738

KRL January 8, 2003